

Approved For Release 2000/08/22 : CIA-RDP61-00391R000200230013-0  
From 1P-578.

1. Each satellite is faced with overcoming the cumulative effects of years of neglect in fields of agriculture, housing, basic materials, light industry, and consumer welfare in general.

Economic aid from Sov. to Poland USSR has permitted some weakening of ideological authority as exemplified by relaxation of agricultural collectivization program of encouragement to private enterprise.

Housing will become even worse in Poland

p.v Summary

Last sentence - Will take more than that to develop a balanced economy. Too general a statement to mean much.

Increase and reallocation of investments in favor of housing and agriculture.  
Increase personal consumption -

Industrial production - increase or decline  
see p. A-6 of IP-578

What about Gomulka's agricultural policies  
- abandonment of 80% of collectives - etc.  
effect on agricultural production?  
see p. A-5 - IP 5-78

2nd sentence  
and p.  
analyze or analyse?  
which is preferable?  
The footnote of p. v should be omitted  
since reference to coord. between Geog. Div.  
and the Analysis Div. is for internal ORR record  
only.

b. On page 1, line 2, I question the use of "viability." Although it is strictly correct, I believe it is a bit misleading since the Polish state is not excessively stable even though it will undoubtedly continue to live and to grow. I would prefer to see some such word as "development."

c. On page 1, line 7, the verb should be "were" rather than "was" unless the author meant "determination of settlement of the boundary" which I do not believe he meant.

d. On page 1, last sentence of 1st paragraph, I would close the sentence with ". . . the question of the Western Territories could arise in some form." I think this would be a much safer statement and would not raise questions regarding possible methods of negotiation.

p. 2 last line, last P - word Polonization  
lacks a base or root which justifies its  
use. perhaps "ideological training" would be  
a substitute for it.

p. 2 II Pg. line 5 - all German nationals

p. 2 1st P 1st sentence - is the enlarged  
physical base sufficient for development  
of Poland into an economically stable and  
prosperous nation. Other factors!!

p. 3, line 2 - Polonization

line 3. many Germans permitted to remain  
in Western Territories - is this contrary  
to policy statement about all Germans  
on p. 2.

p. 10. coal output figures needed for other years  
as well as 1955 and put into table.

little use for table if the % of western  
territory prod. is not shown.

p. 11. ~~some~~ <sup>any later figures</sup> as comment above.

any later figures

p. 13 - Do the steel plants nowock constitute the total production of pig iron & steel in the western territories?

What is the tonnage figure for pig iron & steel for the western territories in 1955 any later figures?

p. 18 2nd par. Is this statement in 1st sentence still true. Shouldn't it be qualified by dating it?  
Maybe change has to had.

Any production figures for light industry??

p. 23. The two objectives of the present Gomulka govt. as stated in P. 1. need checking against several reports.

Last statement P.1 doesn't hold.

p. 24. According to political experts - the possibility of Germany reannexing the Western territories is so remote & out of the question that it is rather foolish to even consider it.

The statement beginning "Should Germany be permitted to reannex - etc." should be removed. The next sentence beginning "The loss of the area — — — for export" should be put into a positive statement concerning the value of the area commodities to Poland